

Automorphisms and isomorphisms of Chevalley groups of type G_2

over local rings with $1/2$ and $1/3$ ¹

E. I. Bunina

M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University

Russia, 119992, Moscow, Leninskie Gory, Main Building of MSU, Faculty of Mechanics and
Mathematics, Department of Higher Algebra

email address: helenbunina@yandex.ru

Abstract.

We prove that every isomorphism of Chevalley groups of type G_2 over commutative local rings with $1/2$ and $1/3$ is standard, i. e., it is a composition of a ring isomorphism and an inner automorphism.

Key words: Chevalley groups, local rings, isomorphisms and automorphisms

INTRODUCTION

An associative commutative ring R with a unit is called *local*, if it contains exactly one maximal ideal (that coincides with the radical of R). Equivalently, the set of all non-invertible elements of R is an ideal.

We describe automorphisms of Chevalley groups of type G_2 over local rings with $1/2$ and $1/3$. Note that for the root system G_2 there exists only one weight lattice, that is simultaneously universal and adjoint, therefore for every ring R there exists a unique Chevalley group of type G_2 , that is $G(R) = G_{\text{ad}}(G_2, R)$. Over local rings universal Chevalley groups coincide with their elementary subgroups, consequently the Chevalley group $G(R)$ is also an elementary Chevalley group.

Theorem 1 for the root systems A_l, D_l , and E_l was obtained by the author in [12], in [14] all automorphisms of Chevalley groups of given types over local rings with $1/2$ were described. Theorem 1 for the root systems B_2 and G_2 is obtained in the paper [13], but we repeat it here for the root system G_2 with an easier proof.

Similar results for Chevalley groups over fields were proved by R. Steinberg [50] for the finite case and by J. Humphreys [36] for the infinite case. Many papers were devoted to description of automorphisms of Chevalley groups over different commutative rings, we can mention here the papers of Borel–Tits [10], Carter–Chen Yu [16], Chen Yu [17]–[21], A. Klyachko [40].

¹The work is supported by the Russian President grant MK-2530.2008.1 and by the grant of Russian Fond of Basic Research 08-01-00693.

E. Abe [1] proved that all automorphisms of Chevalley groups under Noetherian rings with $1/2$ are standard.

The case A_l was completely studied by the papers of W.C. Waterhouse [63], V.M. Petechuk [43], Fuan Li and Zunxian Li [39], and also for rings without $1/2$. The paper of I.Z. Golubchik and A.V. Mikhalev [30] covers the case C_l , that is not considered in the present paper. Automorphisms and isomorphisms of general linear groups over arbitrary associative rings were described by E.I. Zelmanov in [68] and by I.Z. Golubchik, A.V. Mikhalev in [31].

We generalize some methods of V.M. Petechuk [44] to prove Theorem 1.

The author is thankful to N.A. Vavilov, A.A. Klyachko, A.V. Mikhalev for valuable advices, remarks and discussions.

1. DEFINITIONS AND MAIN THEOREMS.

We fix the root system Φ of the type G_2 (detailed texts about root systems and their properties can be found in the books [37], [11]). Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be an orthonorm basis of the space $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then we numerate the roots of G_2 as follows:

$$\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = -2e_1 + e_2 + e_3$$

are simple roots;

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = e_3 - e_1, \\ \alpha_4 &= 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = e_3 - e_2, \\ \alpha_5 &= 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = e_1 + e_3 - 2e_2, \\ \alpha_6 &= 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = 2e_3 - e_1 - e_2 \end{aligned}$$

are other positive roots.

Suppose now that we have a semisimple complex Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ of type G_2 with Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ (detailed information about semisimple Lie algebras can be found in the book [37]).

Then in the algebra $\mathcal{L}$ we can choose a *Chevalley basis* $\{h_i \mid i = 1, 2; x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$ so that for every two elements of this basis their commutator is an integral linear combination of the elements of the same basis.

Namely,

- 1) $[h_i, h_j] = 0$;
- 2) $[h_i, x_\alpha] = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha \rangle x_\alpha$;
- 3) if $\alpha = n_1\alpha_1 + \dots + n_4\alpha_4$, then $[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] = n_1h_1 + \dots + n_4h_4$;
- 4) if $\alpha + \beta \notin \Phi$, then $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] = 0$;
- 5) if $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$, and α, β are roots of the same length, then $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] = cx_{\alpha+\beta}$;
- 6) if $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$, α is a long root, β is a short root, then $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] = ax_{\alpha+\beta} + bx_{\alpha+2\beta} + \dots$.

Take now an arbitrary local ring with $1/2$ and $1/3$ and construct an elementary adjoint Chevalley group of type G_2 over this ring (see, for example [49]). For our convenience we briefly put here the construction.

In the Chevalley basis of $\mathcal{L}$ all operators $(x_\alpha)^k/k!$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are written as integral (nilpotent) matrices. An integral matrix also can be considered as a matrix over an arbitrary commutative

ring with 1. Let R be such a ring. Consider matrices $n \times n$ over R , matrices $(x_\alpha)^k/k!$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are included in $M_n(R)$.

Now consider automorphisms of the free module R^n of the form

$$\exp(tx_\alpha) = x_\alpha(t) = 1 + tx_\alpha + t^2(x_\alpha)^2/2 + \cdots + t^k(x_\alpha)^k/k! + \cdots$$

Since all matrices x_α are nilpotent, we have that this series is finite. Automorphisms $x_\alpha(t)$ are called *elementary root elements*. The subgroup in $\text{Aut}(R^n)$, generated by all $x_\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in \Phi$, $t \in R$, is called an *elementary adjoint Chevalley group* (notation: $E_{\text{ad}}(\Phi, R) = E_{\text{ad}}(R)$).

In an elementary Chevalley group there are the following important elements:

- $w_\alpha(t) = x_\alpha(t)x_{-\alpha}(-t^{-1})x_\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in \Phi$, $t \in R^*$;
- $h_\alpha(t) = w_\alpha(t)w_\alpha(1)^{-1}$.

The action of $x_\alpha(t)$ on the Chevalley basis is described in [15], [58], we write it below.

Over local rings for the root system G_2 all Chevalley groups coincide with elementary adjoint Chevalley groups $E_{\text{ad}}(R)$, therefore we do not introduce Chevalley groups themselves in this paper.

We will work with two types of standard automorphisms of Chevalley groups $G(R)$ and $G(S)$ and with one unusual, “temporary” type of automorphisms.

Ring isomorphisms. Let $\rho : R \rightarrow S$ be an isomorphism of rings. The mapping $x \mapsto \rho(x)$ from $G(R)$ onto $G(S)$ is an isomorphism of the groups $G(R)$ and $G(S)$, it is denoted by the same letter ρ and called a *ring isomorphism* of the groups $G(R)$ and $G(S)$. Note that for all $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $t \in R$ the element $x_\alpha(t)$ is mapped to $x_\alpha(\rho(t))$.

Inner automorphisms. Let $g \in G(R)$ be an element of a Chevalley group under consideration. Conjugation of the group $G(R)$ with the element g is an automorphism of $G(R)$, that is denoted by i_g and is called an *inner automorphism* of $G(R)$.

These two types of automorphisms are called *standard*. There are central and graph automorphisms, which are also standard, but in our case (root system G_2) they can not appear. Therefore we say that an isomorphism of groups $G(R)$ and $G(S)$ is standard, if it is a composition of two introduced types of isomorphisms.

Besides that, we need also to introduce temporarily one more type of automorphisms:

Automorphisms–conjugations. Let V be a representation space of the Chevalley group $G(R)$, $C \in \text{GL}(V)$ be a matrix from the normalizer of $G(R)$:

$$CG(R)C^{-1} = G(R).$$

Then the mapping $x \mapsto CxC^{-1}$ from $G(R)$ onto itself is an automorphism of the Chevalley group, which is denoted by i and is called an *automorphism–conjugation* of $G(R)$, *induced by the element C* of the group $\text{GL}(V)$.

In Section 5 we will prove that in our case all automorphisms–conjugations are inner, but the first step is the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $G(R) = G(\Phi, R)$ and $G(S) = G(\Phi, S)$ are Chevalley groups of type G_2 , R, S are commutative local rings with $1/2$ and $1/3$. Then every isomorphism of the groups $G(R)$ and $G(S)$ is a composition of a ring isomorphism and an automorphism–conjugation.*

Sections 2–4 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

2. CHANGING THE INITIAL ISOMORPHISM TO A SPECIAL ISOMORPHISM.

In this section we use some arguments from the paper [44].

Definition 1. By $\text{GL}_n(R, J)$ we denote the subgroup of such matrices A from $\text{GL}_n(R)$, that satisfy $A - E \in M_n(J)$, where J is the radical of R .

Proposition 1. *By an arbitrary isomorphism φ between Chevalley groups $G(R)$ and $G(S)$ we can construct an isomorphism $\varphi' = i_{g^{-1}}\varphi$, $g \in \text{GL}_n(S)$, of the group $G(R) \subset \text{GL}_n(R)$ onto some subgroup $\text{GL}_n(S)$, with the property that any matrix $A \in G(R)$ with elements of the subring of R , generated by 1, is mapped under φ' to the matrix from $A \cdot \text{GL}_n(S, J_S)$.*

Proof. Let J_R be the maximal ideal (radical) of R , k the residue field R/J_R . Then the group $G(R, J_R)$ generated by all $x_\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in \Phi$, $t \in J_R$, is the greatest normal proper subgroup in $G(R)$ (see [2]). Therefore under the action of φ the group $G(R, J_R)$ is mapped to $G(S, J_S)$.

By this reason the isomorphism

$$\varphi : G(R) \rightarrow G(S)$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{\varphi} : G(R)/G(R, J_R) = G(R/J_R) \rightarrow G(S/J_S).$$

The groups $G(R/J_R)$ and $G(S/J_S)$ are Chevalley groups over fields, so that the isomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ is standard, i. e., it is

$$\bar{\varphi} = i_{\bar{g}}\bar{\rho}, \quad \bar{g} \in G(S/J_S) \quad (\text{see [49], § 10}).$$

Clear that there exists a matrix $g \in \text{GL}_n(S)$ such that its image under factorization S by J_S is $\bar{g}$. Note that it is not necessary $g \in N(G(S))$.

Consider the mapping $\varphi' = i_{g^{-1}}\varphi$. It is an isomorphism of the group $G(R) \subset \text{GL}_n(R)$ onto some subgroup in $\text{GL}_n(S)$, with the property that its image under factorization the rings by their radicals is the isomorphism $\bar{\rho}$.

Since the isomorphism $\bar{\rho}$ acts identically on matrices with all elements generated by the unit of k , we have that any matrix $A \in G(R)$ with elements from the subring of R , generated by 1, is mapped under the action of φ' to some matrix from the set $A \cdot \text{GL}_n(S, J_S)$. $\square$

Let $a \in G(R)$, $a^2 = 1$. Then the element $e = \frac{1}{2}(1 + a)$ is an idempotent of the ring $M_n(R)$. This idempotent e defines a decomposition of the free R -module $V = R^n$:

$$V = eV \oplus (1 - e)V = V_0 \oplus V_1$$

(the modules V_0, V_1 are free, because every projective module over a local ring is free). Let $\bar{V} = \bar{V}_0 \oplus \bar{V}_1$ be a decomposition of the k -module $\bar{V}$ with respect to $\bar{a}$, and $\bar{e} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \bar{a})$.

Then we have

Proposition 2. *Modules (subspaces) $\bar{V}_0, \bar{V}_1$ are the images of V_0, V_1 under factorization by J .*

Proof. Denote the images of V_0, V_1 under factorization R by J by $\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{V}_1$, respectively. Since $V_0 = \{x \in V \mid ex = x\}$, $V_1 = \{x \in V \mid ex = 0\}$, we have $\bar{e}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \bar{a})(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \bar{a}(\bar{x})) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \bar{a}(\bar{x})) = \bar{e}(\bar{x})$. Then $\tilde{V}_0 \subseteq \bar{V}_0$, $\tilde{V}_1 \subseteq \bar{V}_1$.

Let $x = x_0 + x_1$, $x_0 \in V_0$, $x_1 \in V_1$. Then $\bar{e}(x) = \bar{e}(x_0) + \bar{e}(x_1) = \bar{x}_0$. If $\bar{x} \in \tilde{V}_0$, then $\bar{x} = \bar{x}_0$. $\square$

Let $b = \varphi'(a)$. Then $b^2 = 1$ and b is equivalent to a modulo J .

Proposition 3. *Suppose that $a \in G(R)$, $b \in G(S)$ $a^2 = b^2 = E$, a is a matrix with elements from the subring of R , generated by the unit, b and a are equivalent modulo J_S (since all elements of a are generated by unit, we can consider it as an element of $M_n(S)$), $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a decomposition of V with respect to a , $V = V'_0 \oplus V'_1$ is decomposition of V with respect to b . Then $\dim V'_0 = \dim V_0$, $\dim V'_1 = \dim V_1$.*

Proof. We have an S -basis of V $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\} \subset V_0$, $\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n\} \subset V_1$. Clear that

$$\overline{ae_i} = \overline{ae_i} = \overline{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}e_j\right)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{a_{ij}}\bar{e}_j.$$

Let $\bar{V} = \bar{V}_0 \oplus \bar{V}_1$, $\bar{V} = \bar{V}'_0 \oplus \bar{V}'_1$ be decompositions of $k = S/J_S$ -module (space) $\bar{V}$ with respect to $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{b}$. Clear that $\bar{V}_0 = \bar{V}'_0$, $\bar{V}_1 = \bar{V}'_1$. Therefore, by Proposition 2, the images of V_0 and V'_0 , V_1 and V'_1 under factorization by J_S coincide. Take such $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset V'_0$, $\{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n\} \subset V'_1$, that $\bar{f}_i = \bar{e}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since a matrix that maps the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ to $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is invertible (it is equivalent to the unit matrix modulo J_S), we have that $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is an S -basis of V . Clear that $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ is an S -basis of V'_0 , $\{f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n\}$ is an S -basis of V'_1 . $\square$

3. IMAGES OF w_{α_i}

Consider Chevalley groups $G(R)$ and $G(S)$ of type G_2 , their adjoint representations in the groups $\text{GL}_{14}(R)$ and $\text{GL}_{14}(S)$, in bases of weight vectors $v_1 = x_{\alpha_1}, v_{-1} = x_{-\alpha_1}, \dots, v_6 = x_{\alpha_6}, v_{-6} = x_{-\alpha_6}, V_1 = h_1, V_2 = h_2$, corresponding to the Chevalley basis of G_2 .

We suppose that by the isomorphism φ we constructed the isomorphism $\varphi' = i_{g^{-1}}\varphi$, described in the previous section. Recall that it is an isomorphism of the group $G(R) \subset \text{GL}_n(R)$ on some subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(S)$, with the property that its image under factorization ring by their radical is a ring isomorphism $\bar{\rho}$.

Consider the matrices $h_{\alpha_1}(-1), h_{\alpha_2}(-1)$ in $G(R)$. They are

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha_1}(-1) &= \text{diag}[1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1], \\ h_{\alpha_2}(-1) &= \text{diag}[-1, -1, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3 we know that every matrix $h_i = \varphi'(h_{\alpha_i}(-1))$ in some basis is diagonal with ± 1 on its diagonal, and the number of 1 and -1 coincides with their number for the matrix $h_{\alpha_i}(-1)$. Since h_1 and h_2 commute, there exists a basis, where h_1 and h_2 have the same form as $h_{\alpha_1}(-1)$ and $h_{\alpha_2}(-1)$. Suppose that we came to this basis with the help of the matrix g_1 . Clear that $g_1 \in \text{GL}_n(S, J_S)$. Consider the mapping $\varphi_1 = i_{g_1^{-1}}\varphi'$. It is also an isomorphism of the group $G(R)$ onto some subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(S)$ such that its image under factorization rings by their radicals is $\bar{\rho}$, and $\varphi_1(h_{\alpha_i}(-1)) = h_{\alpha_i}(-1)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Instead of φ' we now consider the isomorphism φ_1 .

Remark 1. Every element $w_i = w_{\alpha_i}(1)$ moves by conjugation h_i to each other, therefore its image has a block-monomial form. In particular, this image can be rewritten as a block-diagonal matrix, where the first block is 12×12 , and the second is 2×2 .

Consider the first basis vector after the last basis change in $\mathrm{GL}_{14}(S)$. Denote it by e . The Weil group W acts transitively on the set of roots of the same length, therefore for every root α_i of the same length as the first one, there exists such $w^{(\alpha_i)} \in W$, that $w^{(\alpha_i)}\alpha_1 = \alpha_i$. Similarly, all roots of the second length are also conjugate under the action of W . Let α_k be the first root of the length that is not equal to the length of α_1 , and let f be the k -th basis vector after the last basis change. If α_j is a root conjugate to α_k , then let us denote by $w_{(\alpha_j)}$ an element of W such that $w_{(\alpha_j)}\alpha_k = \alpha_j$. Consider now the basis $e_1, \dots, e_{14}$, where $e_1 = e$, $e_k = f$, for $1 < i \leq 12$ either $e_i = \varphi_1(w^{(\alpha_i)})e$, or $e_i = \varphi_1(w_{(\alpha_i)})f$ (it depends of the length of α_k); we do not move e_{13}, e_{14} . Clear that the matrix of this basis change is equivalent to the unit modulo radical. Therefore the obtained set of vectors also is a basis.

Clear that the matrices $\varphi_1(w_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) on the basis part $\{e_1, \dots, e_{12}\}$ coincide with the matrices for w_i in the initial basis of weight vectors. Since $h_i(-1)$ are squares of w_i , then there images are not changed in the new basis.

Besides, we know (Remark 1) that every matrix $\varphi_1(w_i)$ is block-diagonal up to decomposition of basis in the first 12 and last 2 elements. Therefore the last part of basis consisting of 2 elements, can be changed independently.

Denote the matrices w_i and $\varphi_1(w_i)$ on this basis part by $\widetilde{w}_i$ and $\widetilde{\varphi_1(w_i)}$ respectively, and the 2-generated module generated by e_{13} and e_{14} , by $\widetilde{V}$.

Lemma 1. *For the root system G_2 there exists such a basis that $\widetilde{\varphi_1(w_1)}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi_1(w_2)}$ in this basis are $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2$. Namely, they are equal to*

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{w}_1$ is an involution and $\widetilde{V}_1^1$ has dimension 1, there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$, where $\widetilde{\varphi_1(w_1)}$ has the form $\mathrm{diag}[-1, 1]$. In the basis $\{e_1, e_2 - 3/2e_1\}$ the matrix has the obtained form for G_2 .

Let the matrix $\widetilde{\varphi_1(w_2)}$ in this new basis be

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Make the basis change with the help of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1-a)/c \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{2(1-a)}{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

(it is possible, since c is equivalent to the unit modulo radical). Under such basis change the matrix $\widetilde{\varphi_1(w_1)}$ is not change and the matrix $\widetilde{\varphi_1(w_2)}$ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since this last matrix is an involution, we have $c'(1+d')=0$, $1+b'c'=1$. Therefore, $d'=-1$, $b'=0$.

Besides,

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c' & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^3 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c' & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^3,$$

consequently $3c'(3c'-1)(c'-1)=0$. Since $c' \equiv 1 \pmod{J}$, $3c'-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{J}$, $3 \in R^*$, we have $c'-1=0$. $\square$

Therefore we can now come from the isomorphism φ_1 under consideration to an isomorphism φ_2 with all properties of φ_1 and such that $\varphi_2(w_1)=w_1$, $\varphi_2(w_2)=w_2'$.

We suppose now that an isomorphism φ_2 with all these properties is given.

4. IMAGES OF $x_{\alpha_i}(1)$ AND DIAGONAL MATRICES.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= -e_{1,2}-e_{2,1}+e_{3,9}+e_{4,10}-e_{9,3}-e_{10,4}+e_{5,7}+e_{6,8}-e_{7,5}-e_{8,6}+e_{11,,11}+e_{12,12}-e_{13,13}+e_{14,14}+3e_{13,14}; \\ w_2 &= -e_{3,4}-e_{4,3}+e_{1,5}+e_{2,6}-e_{5,1}-e_{6,2}+e_{7,7}+e_{8,8}+e_{9,11}+e_{10,12}-e_{11,9}-e_{12,10}+e_{13,13}-e_{14,14}+e_{14,13}; \\ x_{\alpha_1}(1) &= E - e_{1,2} - 2e_{1,13} + 3e_{1,14} - e_{4,6} - e_{4,8} - e_{4,10} + 3e_{5,3} + 2e_{6,8} + 3e_{6,10} - 3e_{7,3} - 2e_{7,5} + \\ & 3e_{8,10} + e_{9,3} + e_{9,5} - e_{9,7} + e_{13,2}; \\ x_{\alpha_2}(1) &= E + e_{2,6} - e_{3,4} + e_{3,13} - 2e_{3,14} - e_{5,1} + e_{10,12} - e_{11,9} + e_{14,4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1 = \varphi_2(x_{\alpha_1}(1))$ commutes with $h_{\alpha_1}(-1)$ and with $w_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} = w_2w_1w_2w_1^{-1}w_2^{-1}$, we have that x_1 can be decomposed to the blocks $\{v_1, v_{-1}, v_6, v_{-6}, V_1, V_2\}$ and $\{v_2, v_{-2}, v_3, v_{-3}, v_4, v_{-4}, v_5, v_{-5}\}$; on the first block the matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & -y_3 & y_4 & -\frac{3y_4}{2} \\ y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & -y_7 & y_8 & -\frac{3y_8}{2} \\ y_9 & y_{10} & y_{11} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{14} \\ -y_9 & -y_{10} & y_{12} & y_{11} & -y_{13} & 3y_{13} + y_{14} \\ y_{15} & y_{16} & y_{17} & y_{17} + 3y_{19} & y_{18} & \frac{3(y_{20}-y_{18})}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & y_{19} & y_{19} & 0 & y_{20} \end{pmatrix};$$

on the second block it is

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{21} & y_{22} & y_{23} & y_{24} & -y_{25} & -y_{26} & -y_{27} & -y_{28} \\ y_{29} & y_{30} & y_{31} & y_{32} & -y_{33} & -y_{34} & -y_{35} & -y_{36} \\ y_{37} & y_{38} & y_{39} & y_{40} & -y_{41} & -y_{42} & -y_{43} & -y_{44} \\ y_{45} & y_{46} & y_{47} & y_{48} & -y_{49} & -y_{50} & -y_{51} & -y_{52} \\ y_{52} & y_{51} & y_{50} & y_{49} & y_{48} & y_{47} & y_{46} & y_{45} \\ y_{44} & y_{43} & y_{42} & y_{41} & y_{40} & y_{39} & y_{38} & y_{37} \\ y_{36} & y_{35} & y_{34} & y_{33} & y_{32} & y_{31} & y_{30} & y_{29} \\ y_{28} & y_{27} & y_{26} & y_{25} & y_{24} & y_{23} & y_{22} & y_{21} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarlry, since $x_2 = \varphi_2(x_{\alpha_2}(1))$ commutes with $h_{\alpha_2}(-1)$ and $w_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = w_1w_2w_1w_2^{-1}w_1^{-1}$, we have decomposition of x_2 on the blocks $\{v_1, v_{-1}, v_3, v_{-3}, v_5, v_{-5}, v_6, v_{-6}\}$ and $\{v_2, v_{-2}, v_4, v_{-4}, V_1, V_2\}$;

on the first block the matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ z_9 & z_{10} & z_{11} & z_{12} & z_{13} & z_{14} & z_{15} & z_{16} \\ -z_{12} & -z_{11} & z_{10} & z_9 & -z_{16} & -z_{15} & z_{13} & z_{14} \\ -z_4 & -z_3 & z_2 & z_1 & -z_8 & -z_7 & z_6 & z_5 \\ z_{17} & z_{18} & z_{19} & z_{20} & z_{21} & z_{22} & z_{23} & z_{24} \\ z_{25} & z_{26} & z_{27} & z_{28} & z_{29} & z_{30} & z_{31} & z_{32} \\ -z_{28} & -z_{27} & z_{26} & z_{25} & -z_{32} & -z_{31} & z_{30} & z_{29} \\ -z_{20} & -z_{19} & z_{18} & z_{17} & -z_{24} & -z_{23} & z_{22} & z_{21} \end{pmatrix};$$

on the second block it is

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{33} & z_{34} & -z_{35} & z_{35} & z_{36} & 2z_{36} \\ z_{37} & z_{38} & -z_{39} & z_{39} & z_{40} & -2z_{40} \\ z_{41} & z_{42} & z_{43} & z_{44} & z_{45} & z_{46} \\ -z_{41} & -z_{42} & z_{44} & z_{43} & z_{45} + z_{46} & -z_{46} \\ 0 & 0 & z_{47} + z_{48} & z_{47} + z_{48} & 2z_{49} + z_{50} & 0 \\ z_{51} & z_{52} & z_{47} & z_{48} & z_{49} & z_{50} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we have 104 variables $y_1, \dots, y_{52}, z_1, \dots, z_{52}$, where $y_1, y_6, y_{11}, y_{16}, y_{18}, y_{20}, y_{21}, y_{30}, y_{34}, y_{36}, y_{39}, y_{48}, z_1, z_{10}, z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{30}, z_{32}, z_{33}, z_{36}, z_{38}, z_{43}, z_{50}, z_{52}$ are equivalent to 1 modulo radical, y_2, y_{32}, z_{34} are equivalent to -1 , y_4, y_{50} , are equivalent to -2 , $y_{37}, -y_{52}$ are equivalent to 3, all other elements are from the radical.

We apply step by step four basis changes, commuting with each other and with all matrices w_i . These changes are represented by matrices C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 . Matrices C_1 and C_2 are block-diagonal, with 2×2 blocks. On all 2×2 blocks, corresponding to short roots, the matrix C_1 is unit, on all 2×2 blocks, corresponding to long roots, it is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z_{51}/z_{52} \\ -z_{51}/z_{52} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the last block it is unit.

Similarly, C_2 is unit on the blocks corresponding to long roots, and on the last block. On the blocks corresponding to the short roots, it is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y_{15}/y_{16} \\ -y_{15}/y_{16} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Matrices C_3 and C_4 are diagonal, identical on the last block, the matrix C_3 is identical on all places, corresponding to short root, and scalar with multiplier a on all places corresponding to long roots. In the contrary, the matrix C_4 , is identical on all places, corresponding to long roots, and is scalar with multiplier b on all places, corresponding to short roots.

Since all these four matrices commutes with all w_i , $i = 1, 2$, then after basis change with any of these matrices all conditions for elements x_1 and x_2 still hold.

At the beginning we apply basis changes with the matrices C_1 and C_2 . After that new y_{15} in the matrix x_1 and z_{51} in the matrix x_2 are equal to zero (for the convenience of notations we do not change names of variables). Then we choose $a = -1/z_{52}$ (it is new z_{52}) and apply the third basis change. After it z_{52} in the matrix x_1 becomes to be 1. Clear that z_{51} is still zero.

Finally, apply the last basis change with $b = 1/y_{16}$ (where y_{16} is the last one, obtained after all previous changes). We have that y_{15}, z_{51}, z_{52} are not changed, and y_{16} is now 1.

Now we can suppose that $y_{15} = 0, y_{16} = 1, z_{51} = 0, z_{52} = 1$, and we have 100 variables.

Introduce $x_{1+2} = \varphi_2(x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(1)) = w'_2 x_1 w_2^{-1'}$, $x_{1+1+2} = \varphi_2(x_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(1)) = w_1 x_{1+2} w_1^{-1}$, $x_{1+1+1+2} = \varphi_2(x_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(1)) = w_1 x_2 w_1^{-1}$, $x_{1+1+1+2+2} = \varphi_2(x_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(1)) = w'_2 x_{1+1+1+2} w_2^{-1'}$.

Now we will use the following conditions, that are true for elements w_i and x_i :

$$\begin{aligned} Con1 &= (x_2 x_{1+2} = x_{1+2} x_2), \\ Con2 &= (h_1 x_2 h_1 x_2 = E); \\ Con3 &= (h_2 x_1 h_2 x_1 = E); \\ Con4 &= (x_2 x_{1+1+2} = x_{1+1+2} x_2); \\ Con5 &= (x_2 x_{1+1+1+2} = x_{1+1+1+2+2} x_{1+1+1+2} x_2); \\ Con6 &= (x_{1+1+1+2} x_1 = x_1 x_{1+1+1+2}); \\ Con7 &= (x_1 x_{1+1+2} = x_{1+1+1+2}^3 x_{1+1+2} x_1); \\ Con8 &= (w_1^3 = x_1 w_1 x_1 w_1^3 x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that every matrix condition is 196 polynomial identities, where all polynomials have integer coefficients and depends of y_i, z_j . Temporarily numerate all variables as $v_1, \dots, v_{100}$.

Suppose that one of our polynomials can be rewritten in the form

$$(v_{k_0} - \bar{v}_{k_0})A + v_1 B_1 + \dots + v_{k_0-1} B_{k_0-1} + v_{k_0+1} B_{k_0+1} + \dots + v_{100} B_{100} = 0,$$

where $\bar{v}_{k_0}$ is such an integer number that is equivalent to v_{k_0} modulo radical, the polynomial A is invertible modulo radical, B_i are some polynomials (the variable v_{k_0} can enter in all polynomial and also in A). Then

$$v_{k_0} = -\frac{v_1 B_1 + \dots + v_{k_0-1} B_{k_0-1} + v_{k_0+1} B_{k_0+1} + \dots + v_{100} B_{100}}{A},$$

we can substitute the expression for v_{k_0} in all other polynomial conditions. If we can choose 100 such conditions that on every step we except one new variable, then on the last step we obtain the expression

$$(v_{k_{100}} - \bar{v}_{k_{100}})C = 0,$$

where C is some rational expression of variables $v_1, \dots, v_{100}$, invertible modulo radical. Therefore, we can say that $v_{k_{100}} = \bar{v}_{k_{100}}$, and consequently all other variables are equal to the integer numbers equivalent them modulo radical. The existence of the obtained 100 conditions is equivalent to the existence of such 100 conditions that the square matrix consisting of all coefficients of these conditions modulo radical has an invertible determinant.

Since it is very complicated to write a matrix 100×100 , we will sequentially take the obtained equations, but for simplicity write coefficients A and B_i modulo radical (in the result these coefficients are just numbers $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$).

We write below how the variables are expressed from the conditions (in brackets we write the number of the condition and the position there): (*Con1*, 14, 3): $y_{22} = 0$; (*Con1*, 6, 10): $y_3 = 0$; (*Con1*, 1, 1): $y_{47} = -z_7$; (*Con1*, 1, 3): $y_{46} = 0$; (*Con1*, 1, 6): $y_{40} = -z_3$; (*Con1*, 1, 7): $y_{49} = -z_7 + 3z_{39}$; (*Con1*, 1, 9): $y_{43} = 0$; (*Con1*, 1, 11): $y_{51} = 0$; (*Con1*, 2, 1): $z_{15} = -3z_{20}$;

$(Con1, 2, 3): y_{41} = 0; (Con1, 2, 5): y_5 = -3z_{18}; (Con1, 2, 7): z_{44} = -3/2z_{20}; (Con1, 2, 9): y_7 = -3z_{24}; (Con1, 3, 1): z_{20} = y_{23} + 2z_{35} - z_9; (Con1, 3, 3): z_{41} = 0; (Con1, 3, 5): z_{18} = z_{11};$
 $(Con1, 4, 1): y_{23} = -2z_{39}; (Con1, 4, 2): z_{37} = -y_{24}; (Con1, 5, 3): y_{35} = 0; (Con1, 7, 5): z_3 = 0;$
 $(Con1, 11, 5): y_9 = 0; (Con1, 11, 3): y_{24} = 0; (Con1, 10, 3): y_{27} = 0; (Con1, 9, 3): y_{38} = 0;$
 $(Con1, 4, 5): z_{11} = 0; (Con1, 5, 5): z_2 = 0; (Con2, 3, 3): z_{33} = 1; (Con2, 14, 4): z_{50} = z_{38};$
 $(Con2, 11, 5): z_{19} = 0; (Con2, 3, 13): z_{38} = 1 - z_{40}; (Con2, 10, 5): z_{27} = 0; (Con2, 5, 5): z_{10} = 1;$
 $(Con2, 6, 6): z_1 = 1; (Con2, 1, 9): z_7 = 0; (Con1, 11, 6): z_{26} = y_{10} + z_4 + y_{33}; (Con2, 7, 7):$
 $z_{43} = 1; (Con2, 9, 9): z_{23} = 2(z_{21} - 1); (Con2, 11, 12): z_{31} := -2z_{29} - z_{24}; (Con3, 1, 1): y_1 = 1;$
 $(Con3, 1, 2): y_4 = 1 + 2y_2 - y_6; (Con3, 2, 2): y_8 = 2(y_6 - 1); (Con3, 4, 4): y_{30} = 1; (Con3, 14, 14):$
 $y_{20} = 1; (Con3, 13, 13): y_{18} = y_6; (Con3, 12, 12): y_{11} = 1; (Con1, 13, 11): z_6 = 0; (Con4, 2, 2):$
 $y_{42} = 6z_{39} - 6z_{35} + 3z_9 + 3z_{10} + 3z_4 + 3y_{33}; (Con2, 5, 6): z_4 = -2z_9; (Con2, 9, 11): z_{21} = 1;$
 $(Con2, 12, 11): z_{22} = 0; (Con2, 10, 10): z_{30} = 1; (Con2, 14, 7): z_{39} = 0; (Con2, 11, 1): y_{33} =$
 $2y_{28} - y_{10} + 2z_9 - z_{17}; (Con3, 12, 2): y_{13} = y_{10}; (Con3, 10, 6): y_{25} = 0; (Con3, 10, 5): y_{26} = 0;$
 $(Con3, 7, 7): y_{48} = 1; (Con3, 3, 3): y_{28} = 1; (Con3, 8, 3): y_{44} = 0; (Con2, 5, 9): z_5 = 2z_{16} - z_{14};$
 $(Con4, 10, 5): z_{24} = -2z_{29}; (Con3, 7, 5): y_{39} = 1; (Con4, 5, 10): y_{45} = 3z_{14}; (Con4, 11, 12):$
 $z_{16} = -3z_{28} - 3z_{25} - z_{13}; (Con4, 9, 6): y_{31} = -2z_9 + 3z_{17}; (Con4, 11, 2): z_9 = 3z_{28}; (Con4, 5, 5):$
 $z_{14} = 0; (Con2, 1, 12): z_8 = 0; (Con4, 2, 1): z_{13} = -3z_{25}; (Con4, 6, 10): y_{52} = -y_{37} + 3y_{50}/2 + 3;$
 $(Con4, 10, 10): y_{28} = -6z_{28} + 3z_{17}; (Con1, 13, 1): z_{48} = -z_{47}; (Con1, 5, 4): y_{37} = 3/2 +$
 $3/2y_6 - 3z_{12} - 3y_2; (Con4, 13, 13): y_{17} = 3/2y_{19} - z_{45} - z_{46}; (Con1, 14, 1): z_{47} = 0; (Con2, 7, 4):$
 $z_{46} = 2z_{42}; (Con4, 3, 3): y_{19} = -y_{12}; (Con5, 3, 2): z_{17} = z_{25} + z_{35} + 2z_{28}; (Con5, 3, 3): z_{29} = 0;$
 $(Con5, 3, 4): z_{40} = 0; (Con5, 3, 5): z_{35} = -z_{25}; (Con5, 3, 6): z_{25} = -3z_{28}; (Con5, 3, 13): z_{49} = 0;$
 $(Con5, 14, 12): z_{32} = 1; (Con5, 11, 4): z_{36} = -z_{34}; (Con5, 9, 4): z_{34} = -1; (Con5, 3, 10):$
 $z_{45} = -1/2y_{12} - 2z_{42}; (Con1, 3, 9): y_{12} = -9z_{28}; (Con3, 11, 2): y_{10} = 0; (Con3, 7, 4): z_{42} = 0;$
 $(Con4, 14, 14): y_{14} = 0; (Con4, 11, 1): z_{12} = 1 + 6z_{28}; (Con4, 11, 10): y_{29} = -9z_{28}; (Con6, 7, 13):$
 $y_6 = 1 - 9/2z_{28}; (Con6, 9, 2): y_{32} = -1 + 4z_{28}; (Con3, 9, 5): y_{50} = -2y_{34} - 8z_{28}; (Con7, 7, 2):$
 $y_2 = -8y_{34} + 7 - 119/4z_{28}; (Con8, 13, 1): y_{34} = 1 + 137/32z_{28}; (Con8, 3, 9): y_{36} = 1; (Con8, 13, 2):$
 $z_{28} = 0.$

Thus, $x_1 = x_{\alpha_1}(1)$, $x_2 = x_{\alpha_2}(1)$, consequently $\varphi_2(x_\alpha(1)) = x_\alpha(1)$ for any root α .

Now look at the images (under φ_2) of $h_\alpha(t)$, $t \in R^*$.

Let $h_t = \varphi_2(h_{\alpha_1}(t))$. Since h_t commutes with $h_1, h_2, w_{\alpha_6}(1)$ and $x_{\alpha_6}(1)$, we directly have

$$h_t = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_3 & d_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_5 & 0 & 0 & -d_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_7 & 0 & 0 & -d_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_9 & 0 & 0 & -d_{10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{11} & 0 & 0 & -d_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_{12} & 0 & 0 & d_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{10} & 0 & 0 & d_9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_8 & 0 & 0 & d_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_6 & 0 & 0 & d_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{14} & \frac{3}{2}(d_{13} - d_{14}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{13} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Use now the conditions $h_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) = w_2 h_{\alpha_1}(t) w_2^{-1}$, $h_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) = w_1 h_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) w_1^{-1}$ and $h_{\alpha_1}(t) h_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) = h_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t)$. They give us $w_1 w_2 h_t w_2^{-1} w_1^{-1} = w_2 h_t w_2^{-1} h_t$, therefore $d_2 d_{11} = 0 \Rightarrow d_2 = 0$;

$d_3d_9 = 0 \Rightarrow d_3 = 0$; $d_5(1 - d_{13}) = 0 \Rightarrow d_{13} = 1$; $d_6 = d_8 = d_{10} = d_{12} = 0$; $d_7 = 1/d_5$; $d_1 = d_{11}^2$; $d_4 = d_9^2$; $d_{11} = 1/d_9$; $d_{14} = 1$.

Finally, use the fact that $h_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(t) = h_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t)h_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t)$ commutes with x_1 . It gives us $d_5 = d_9^3$, therefore $h_t = h_{\alpha_1}(1/d_9)$.

5. FINAL STEPS OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

Now we have stated that for the root system G_2 $\varphi_2(x_\alpha(1)) = x_\alpha(1)$, $\varphi_2(h_\alpha(t)) = h_\alpha(s)$, $\alpha \in \Phi$, $t \in R^*$, $s \in S^*$.

For every long root α_j there exists a root α_k such that $h_{\alpha_k}(t)x_{\alpha_j}(1)h_{\alpha_k}(t)^{-1} = x_{\alpha_j}(t)$. Therefore, $\varphi_2(x_{\alpha_j}(t)) = x_{\alpha_j}(s)$. From the conditions written above and commutator formulas it follows $\varphi_2(x_\alpha(t)) = x_\alpha(s)$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.

Denote the mapping $t \mapsto s$ by $\rho : R^* \rightarrow S^*$. If $t \notin R^*$, then $t \in J$, i.e., $t = 1 + t_1$, where $t_1 \in R^*$. Then $\varphi_2(x_\alpha(t)) = \varphi_2(x_\alpha(1)x_\alpha(t_1)) = x_\alpha(1)x_\alpha(\rho(t_1)) = x_\alpha(1 + \rho(t_1))$, $\alpha \in \Phi$. Therefore we can continue the mapping ρ on the whole ring R (by the formula $\rho(t) := 1 + \rho(t - 1)$ for $t \in J$), and obtain $\varphi_2(x_\alpha(t)) = x_\alpha(\rho(t))$ for all $t \in R$, $\alpha \in \Phi$. Clear that ρ is injective, additive, multiplicative on invertible elements. Since every element of R is a sum of two invertible elements, we have that ρ is multiplicative on the whole R , i.e., it is an isomorphism of R onto some subring in S . Note that in this situation $CG(S)C^{-1} = G(S')$ for some matrix $C \in \text{GL}_{14}(S)$. Show that $S' = S$.

Lemma 2. *The Chevalley group $G(S)$ generates $M_{14}(S)$ as a ring.*

Proof. The matrix $\frac{1}{2}(x_{\alpha_2}(1) - 1)^2$ is $e_{3,4}$ ($e_{i,j}$ is a matrix unit). Multiplying it to the suitable diagonal matrix we can obtain an arbitrary matrix of the form $\alpha \cdot e_{3,4}$ (since the invertible elements of S generate S).

According to the fact that all long root are conjugate under the action of the Weil group, multiplying $e_{3,4}$ from left and right sides to suitable w_i, w_j , we obtain all $e_{k,l}$, $k, l = 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12$.

Then $e_{14,4} = (x_{\alpha_2}(1) - E) \cdot e_{4,4} + e_{3,4}$, again with the help of multiplying (from the right side) to different elements of the Weil group we obtain all $e_{14,l}$, $l = 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12$.

Now $e_{14,14} = -(e_{14,3}(x_{\alpha_2}(1) - E) + e_{14,4})(w_1 - E)$; $e_{3,14} = -1/2(x_{\alpha_2}(1) - E)e_{14,14}$. As above, we obtain all $e_{l,14}$, $l = 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12$.

Also we have $e_{14,13} = e_{14,3}(x_{\alpha_2}(1) - E) + e_{14,4} + 2e_{14,14}$; $e_{3,13} = e_{3,3}(x_{\alpha_2}(1) - E) + 2e_{3,14} + e_{3,4}$ (and directly obtain all $e_{l,13}$, $l = 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12$); $e_{3,2} = e_{3,13}(x_{\alpha_1}(1) - E)$. Now use the fact that all short roots are also conjugate under the action of W ; we obtain all $e_{k,l}$, $k = 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12$, $l = 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8$.

Since $e_{13,13} = 1/4(h_1 + E)(h_2 + E) - e_{14,14}$, $e_{1,13} = -1/2(x_{\alpha_1} - E)e_{13,13}$, $e_{13,2} = e_{13,13}(x_{\alpha_1} - E)$, we have all $e_{l,13}$, $e_{13,l}$, $l = 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8$, and after that by multiplying matrix units we obtain all $e_{l,k}$, $l, k = 1, \dots, 14$, and therefore the whole ring $M_{14}(S)$.

Lemma is proved. □

Note that in the previous lemma we did not suppose $1/3 \in S$.

Lemma 3. *If for some $C \in \text{GL}_{14}(S)$ we have $CG(S)C^{-1} = G(S')$, where S' is a subring of S , then $S' = S$.*

Proof. Suppose that S' is a proper subring of S .

Then $CM_{14}(S)C^{-1} = M_{14}(S')$, since the group $G(S)$ generates $M_{14}(S)$, and the group $G(S') = CG(S)C^{-1}$ generates $M_{14}(S')$. It is impossible, since $C \in \text{GL}_{14}(S)$. $\square$

Therefore we have proved that ρ is an isomorphism from the ring R onto S . Consequently the composition of the initial isomorphism φ' and some basis change with a matrix $C \in \text{GL}_{14}(S)$, (mapping $G(S)$ onto itself), is a ring isomorphism ρ . Therefore, $\varphi' = i_{C^{-1}} \circ \rho$.

So Theorem 1 is proved.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

In this section we still consider a Chevalley group $G(R)$ of type G_2 (it is simultaneously elementary and adjoint) over a local ring with $1/2$ and $1/3$.

Theorem 2. *The normalizer of $G(R)$ in $\text{GL}_{14}(R)$ is $\lambda \cdot G(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that we have some matrix $C = (c_{i,j}) \in \text{GL}_{14}(R)$ such that

$$C \cdot G(R) \cdot C^{-1} = G(R).$$

If J is the radical of R , then the matrices from $M_{14}(J)$ is the radical in the matrix ring $M_{14}(R)$, therefore

$$C \cdot M_{14}(J) \cdot C^{-1} = M_{14}(J),$$

consequently,

$$C \cdot (E + M_{14}(J)) \cdot C^{-1} = E + M_{14}(J),$$

i. e.,

$$C \cdot G(R, J) \cdot C^{-1} = G(R, J),$$

since $G(R, J) = G(R) \cap (E + M_{14}(J))$.

Thus, the image $\overline{C}$ of the matrix C under factorization R by J gives us an automorphism-conjugation of the Chevalley group $G(k)$, where $k = R/J$ is a residue field of R .

Lemma 4. *If $G(k)$ is a Chevalley group of type G_2 over a field k of characteristics $\neq 3$, then every its automorphism-conjugation is inner.*

Proof. By Theorem 30 from [49] every automorphism of a Chevalley group of type G_2 over a field k of characteristics $\neq 3$ is standard, i. e., for this type it is a composition of inner and ring automorphisms. Suppose that a matrix C is from normalizer of $G(k)$ in $\text{GL}_{14}(k)$. Then i_C is an automorphism of $G(k)$, so we have $i_C = i_g \circ \rho$, $g \in G(k)$, ρ is a ring automorphism. Consequently, $i_{g^{-1}}i_C = i_{C'} = \rho$ and some matrix $C' \in \text{GL}_{14}(k)$ defines a ring automorphism ρ . For every root $\alpha \in \Phi$ we have $\rho(x_\alpha(1)) = x_\alpha(1)$, therefore $C'x_\alpha(1) = x_\alpha(1)C'$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$. Thus we have that C' is scalar and an automorphism i_C is inner. $\square$

By Lemma 4

$$i_{\overline{C}} = i_g, \quad g \in G(k).$$

Since over a field every element of a Chevalley group is a product of some set of unipotents $x_\alpha(t)$ and the matrix g can be decomposed into a product $x_{\alpha_{i_1}}(Y_1) \dots x_{\alpha_{i_N}}(Y_N)$, $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \in k$.

Since every element $Y_1, \dots, Y_N$ is a residue class in R , we can choose (arbitrarily) elements $y_1 \in Y_1, \dots, y_N \in Y_N$, and the element

$$g' = x_{\alpha_{i_1}}(y_1) \dots x_{\alpha_{i_N}}(y_N)$$

satisfies the conditions $g' \in G(R)$ and $\overline{g'} = g$.

Consider the matrix $C' = g'^{-1} \circ d^{-1} \circ C$. This matrix also normalizes the group $G(R)$, and also $\overline{C'} = E$. Therefore, from the description of the normalizer of $G(R)$ we come to the description of all matrices from this normalizer equivalent to the unit matrix modulo J .

Therefore we can suppose that our initial matrix C is equivalent to the unit modulo J .

Our aim is to show that $C \in \lambda G(R)$.

Firstly we prove one technical lemma that we will need later.

Lemma 5. *Let $X = \lambda t_{\alpha_1}(s_1)t_{\alpha_2}(s_2)x_{\alpha_1}(t_1)\dots x_{\alpha_6}(t_6)x_{-\alpha_1}(u_1)\dots x_{-\alpha_6}(u_6) \in \lambda G(R, J)$. Then the matrix X contains 15 coefficients (precisely described in the proof of lemma), uniquely defining all $\lambda, s_1, s_2, t_1, \dots, t_6, u_1, \dots, u_6$.*

Proof. By direct calculation we obtain that in the matrix X $x_{12,12} = \frac{\lambda}{s_1^3 s_2^2}$, $x_{12,10} = -\frac{\lambda u_2}{s_1^3 s_2^2}$, therefore we find u_2 ; $x_{12,8} = \frac{\lambda u_3}{s_1^3 s_2^2}$, so we get u_3 ; similarly from $x_{12,6} = \frac{\lambda u_4}{s_1^3 s_2^2}$, $x_{12,4} = -\frac{\lambda u_5}{s_1^3 s_2^2}$, $x_{12,14} = -\frac{\lambda(u_6 + u_2 u_5)}{s_1^3 s_2^2}$ we know u_4, u_5, u_6 . Besides, from $x_{10,12} = \frac{\lambda t_2}{s_1^3 s_2}$ and $x_{10,10} = \frac{\lambda(1 - t_2 u_2)}{s_1^3 s_2}$ we find t_2 and $\frac{\lambda}{s_1^3 s_2}$, and consequently we know s_2 .

From $x_{10,8} = -\frac{\lambda(u_1 - t_2 u_3)}{s_1^3 s_2}$ we find u_1 . From the first equation we can express λ by s_1 . Therefore, λ is now known. Now from the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_{14,12} &= \lambda(t_2 t_5 + 3t_3 t_4 + 2t_6); \\ x_{4,12} &= \frac{\lambda(t_5 + 3t_1 t_4 + 3t_1^2 t_3 - t_1^3 t_2)}{s_2}; \\ x_{4,6} &= \frac{-t_1 + 2t_1^2 u_1 - t_1^3 u_1^2 + u_4 t_5 + 3u_4 t_1 t_4 + 3u_4 t_1^2 t_3 - u_4 t_1^3 t_2}{s_2}; \\ x_{8,8} &= \frac{\lambda(1 - 3t_1 u_1 - 3u_3 t_3 + 3u_3 t_1 t_2)}{s_1^2 s_2}; \\ x_{14,6} &= \lambda(-t_3 - 2t_4 u_1 - t_5 u_1^2 + u_4 t_2 t_5 + 3u_4 t_3 t_4 + 2u_4 t_6); \\ x_{14,8} &= \lambda(t_4 + t_5 u_1 + u_3 t_2 t_5 + 3u_3 t_3 t_4 + 2u_3 t_6), \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1, t_1, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6$ are variables, in every equation there is exactly one variable with invertible coefficient, and for all equations these variables are different, we can find all six variables.

Now we know all obtained elements of the ring. Lemma is proved. $\square$

Now return to our main proof. Recall, that we work with the matrix C , equivalent to the unit matrix modulo radical, and mapping the Chevalley group into itself.

For every root $\alpha \in \Phi$ we have

$$(1) \quad Cx_{\alpha}(1)C^{-1} = x_{\alpha}(1) \cdot g_{\alpha}, \quad g_{\alpha} \in G(R, J).$$

Every $g_{\alpha} \in G(R, J)$ can be decomposed into a product

$$(2) \quad t_{\alpha_1}(1 + a_1)t_{\alpha_2}(1 + a_2)x_{\alpha_1}(b_1)\dots x_{\alpha_6}(b_6)x_{\alpha_{-1}}(c_1)\dots x_{-\alpha_6}(c_6),$$

where $a_1, a_2, b_1, \dots, b_6, c_1, \dots, c_6 \in J$ (see, for example, [2]).

Let $C = E + X = E + (x_{i,j})$. Then for every root $\alpha \in \Phi$ we can write a matrix equation 1 with variables $x_{i,j}, a_1, a_2, b_1, \dots, b_6, c_1, \dots, c_6$, each of them is from the radical.

Let us change these equations. We consider the matrix C and “imagine”, that it is some matrix from Lemma 5 (i. e., it is from $\lambda G(R)$). Then by some its concrete 15 positions we can “define” all coefficients $\lambda, s_1, s_2, t_1, \dots, t_6, u_1, \dots, u_6$ in the decomposition of this matrix from Lemma 5. In the result we obtain a matrix $D \in \lambda G(R)$, every matrix coefficient in it is some (known) function of coefficients of C . Change now the equations (1) to the equations

$$(3) \quad D^{-1}Cx_\alpha(1)C^{-1}D = x_\alpha(1) \cdot g_\alpha', \quad g_\alpha' \in G(R, J).$$

We again have matrix equations, but with variables $y_{i,j}, a'_1, a'_2, b'_1, \dots, b'_6, c'_1, \dots, c'_6$, every of them still is from radical, and also every $y_{p,q}$ is some known function of (all) $x_{i,j}$. The matrix $D^{-1}C$ will be denoted by C' .

We want to show that a solution exists only for all variables with primes equal to zero. Some $x_{i,j}$ also will equal to zero, and other are reduced in the equations. Since the equations are very complicated we will consider the linearized system. It is sufficient to show that all variables from the linearized system (let it be the system of q variables) are members of some system from q linear equations with invertible in R determinant.

In other words, from the matrix equalities we will show that all variables from them are equal to zeros.

Clear that linearizing the product $Y^{-1}(E + X)$ we obtain some matrix $E + (z_{i,j})$, with all positions described in Lemma 5 equal to zero.

To find a final form of a linearized system, we write the last one as:

$$(E + Z)x_\alpha(1) = x_\alpha(1)(E + a_1T_1 + a_1^2 \dots)(E + a_2T_2 + a_2^2 \dots) \cdot \\ \cdot (E + b_1X_{\alpha_1} + b_1^2X_{\alpha_1}^2/2 + \dots) \dots (E + c_6X_{-\alpha_6} + c_6^2X_{-\alpha_6}^2/2 + \dots)(E + Z),$$

where X_α is a corresponding element of the Lie algebra in its adjoint representation,

$$T_1 = \text{diag} [1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 0, 0];$$

$$T_2 = \text{diag} [0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 0, 0].$$

Finally we have

$$Zx_\alpha(1) - x_\alpha(1)(Z + a_1T_1 + a_2T_2 + b_1X_{\alpha_1} + \dots + c_6X_{\alpha_6}) = 0.$$

This equation can be written for every $\alpha \in \Phi$ (naturally, with another a_j, b_j, c_j), and can be written only for generating roots: for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_2$. The number of free variables is not changed.

We have four equations:

$$\begin{cases} Zx_{\alpha_1}(1) - x_{\alpha_1}(1)(X + a_{1,1}T_1 + a_{2,1}T_2 + \\ \quad + b_{1,1}X_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + b_{6,1}X_{\alpha_6} + c_{1,1}X_{-\alpha_1} + \cdots + c_{6,1}X_{-\alpha_6}) = 0; \\ Zx_{\alpha_2}(1) - x_{\alpha_2}(1)(X + a_{1,2}T_1 + a_{2,2}T_2 + \\ \quad + b_{1,2}X_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + b_{6,2}X_{\alpha_6} + c_{1,2}X_{-\alpha_1} + c_{6,2}X_{-\alpha_6}) = 0; \\ Xx_{-\alpha_1}(1) - x_{-\alpha_1}(1)(X + a_{1,3}T_1 + a_{2,3}T_2 + \\ \quad + b_{1,3}X_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + b_{6,3}X_{\alpha_6} + c_{1,3}X_{-\alpha_1} + \cdots + c_{6,3}X_{-\alpha_6}) = 0; \\ Xx_{-\alpha_2}(1) - x_{-\alpha_2}(1)(X + a_{1,4}T_1 + a_{2,4}T_2 + \\ \quad + b_{1,4}X_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + b_{6,4}X_{\alpha_6} + c_{1,4}X_{-\alpha_1} + \cdots + c_{6,4}X_{-\alpha_6}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The matrix $Z = (z_{i,j})$ has zero elements on the positions $z_{4,6}$, $z_{4,12}$, $z_{8,8}$, $z_{10,8}$, $z_{10,10}$, $z_{10,12}$, $z_{12,4}$, $z_{12,6}$, $z_{12,8}$, $z_{12,10}$, $z_{12,12}$, $z_{12,14}$, $z_{14,6}$, $z_{14,8}$, $z_{14,12}$.

From the matrix of the second condition we have: the position (3, 6): $z_{3,4} = 0$; the position (3, 7): $z_{3,9} = 0$; the position (3, 11): $c_{5,1} = 0$; the position (10, 6): $z_{10,4} = 0$; the position (10, 7): $z_{10,9} = 0$; the position (10, 12): $b_{2,1} = 0$; the position (11, 6): $z_{11,4} = 0$; the position (11, 13): $z_{11,1} = 0$; the position (2, 6): $z_{2,4} = 0$; the position (2, 7): $z_{2,9} = 0$; the position (3, 8): $z_{3,6} = 0$; the position (3, 13): $z_{3,1} = 0$; the position (5, 6): $z_{5,4} = 0$; the position (6, 9): $z_{8,9} = 0$; the position (11, 8): $z_{11,6} = 0$; the position (11, 2): $z_{11,13} = 0$; the position (12, 8): $c_{3,1} = 0$; the position (12, 6): $c_{4,1} = 0$; the position (12, 10): $c_{2,1} = 0$; the position (14, 11): $c_{6,1} = 0$; the position (14, 12): $b_{6,1} = 0$; the position (10, 13): $z_{10,1} = 0$; the position (12, 7): $z_{12,9} = 0$; the position (11, 8): $z_{11,6} = 0$; the position (10, 2): $z_{10,13} = 0$; the position (13, 12): $z_{2,12} = 0$; the position (13, 11): $z_{2,11} = 0$; the position (12, 5): $z_{12,7} = 0$; the position (6, 1): $z_{8,1} = 0$; the position (14, 7): $z_{14,9} = 0$; the position (12, 13): $z_{12,1} = 0$; the position (14, 13): $z_{14,1} = 0$; the position (14, 5): $z_{14,7} = 0$; the position (12, 2): $z_{12,13} = 0$; the position (1, 9): $z_{13,9} = 0$; the position (4, 9): $z_{6,9} = 0$; the position (14, 2): $z_{14,13} = 0$; the position (2, 5): $z_{2,7} = 0$; the position (1, 12): $z_{13,12} = 0$; the position (10, 5): $z_{10,7} = 0$; the position (9, 4): $z_{7,4} = 0$.

From the matrix of the second condition it follows: the positions (9, 6): $z_{9,2} = 0$; (13, 13): $z_{13,3} = 0$; (12, 13): $z_{12,3} = 0$; (12, 14): $z_{6,2} = 0$; (14, 11): $z_{4,11} = 0$; (12, 9): $z_{12,11} = 0$; (13, 5): $z_{3,2} = 0$; (11, 10): $z_{9,10} = 0$; (8, 9): $z_{8,11} = 0$; (11, 8): $z_{9,8} = 0$; (10, 9): $z_{10,11} = 0$; (6, 9): $z_{6,11} = 0$; (2, 3): $z_{6,3} = 0$; (4, 1): $z_{4,5} = 0$; (10, 1): $z_{10,5} = 0$; (10, 5): $z_{12,5} = 0$; (7, 12): $z_{7,10} = 0$; (5, 10): $z_{1,10} = 0$; (7, 5): $b_{1,2} = 0$; (12, 10): $c_{2,2} = 0$; (5, 3): $z_{1,3} = 0$; (13, 7): $c_{4,2} = 0$; (13, 8): $b_{4,2} = 0$; (10, 8): $c_{1,2} = 0$; (12, 4): $c_{5,2} = 0$; (7, 2): $b_{5,2} = 0$; (1, 6): $z_{1,2} = 0$; (4, 12): $z_{4,10} = 0$; (5, 11): $z_{1,11} = 0$; (13, 9): $z_{13,11} = 0$; (4, 6): $z_{4,2} = 0$; (7, 9): $z_{7,11} = 0$; (10, 6): $z_{10,2} = 0$; (14, 8): $z_{4,8} = 0$; (4, 13): $z_{4,3} = 0$; (7, 13): $z_{7,3} = 0$; (8, 13): $z_{8,3} = 0$; (9, 13): $z_{9,3} = 0$; (10, 13): $z_{10,3} = 0$; (6, 1): $z_{6,5} = 0$; (8, 1): $z_{8,5} = 0$; (9, 1): $z_{9,5} = 0$; (13, 1): $z_{13,5} = 0$; (10, 2): $z_{12,2} = 0$; (6, 4): $z_{6,14} = 0$; (7, 4): $z_{7,14} = 0$; (8, 4): $z_{8,14} = 0$; (10, 4): $z_{10,14} = 0$; (13, 4): $z_{13,14} = 0$; (4, 5): $z_{14,5} = 0$; (8, 6): $z_{8,2} = 0$; (2, 7): $z_{6,7} = 0$; (5, 7): $z_{1,7} = 0$; (14, 7): $z_{4,7} = 0$; (3, 7): $z_{13,7} = 0$; (3, 8): $z_{13,8} = 0$; (8, 8): $z_{8,8} = 0$; (10, 10): $a_{1,2} = 0$ $a_{2,2} = 0$; (1, 1): $z_{1,5} = 0$; (2, 2): $z_{6,2} = 0$; (3, 3): $z_{14,3} = 0$; (4, 4): $z_{4,14} = 0$; (9, 9): $z_{9,11} = 0$; (10, 12): $b_{2,2} = 0$; (3, 11): $z_{14,11} = 0$; (2, 10): $z_{6,10} = 0$; (2, 14): $z_{2,3} = 0$; (2, 13): $z_{6,13} = 0$; (14, 13): $z_{4,13} = 0$; (14, 1): $z_{4,1} = 0$; (14, 9): $z_{4,9} = 0$; (14, 4): $z_{14,14} = 0$; (3, 9): $z_{3,11} = 0$; (3, 14): $z_{13,13} = 0$; (3, 13): $z_{3,3} = 0$.

Again return to the first condition. Now the elements $z_{1,9}, z_{2,5}, z_{6,1}, z_{8,7}, z_{8,13}, z_{5,6}, z_{5,11}$ become to be zeros.

If we consider the condition 3, we have that from all coefficients a_i, b_i, c_i only $b_{2,3}, b_{3,3}, b_{4,3}, c_{1,3}, a_{1,3}$ can be not zeros. The following coefficients of Z are zeros: $z_{5,3}, z_{5,10}, z_{1,12}, z_{7,8}, z_{11,2}, z_{11,10}, z_{11,8}, z_{14,2}$.

Now in the second condition the position (14, 6) gives $b_{3,2} = 0$, therefore $z_{13,2}, z_{6,8}, z_{1,14}, z_{7,5}, z_{1,13}, z_{8,10}, z_{9,6}, z_{9,7}, z_{9,12}$ are zeros.

Again from the third condition $a_{1,3} = 0, z_{7,12} = 0$.

Finally, come to the last, fourth condition. Since the roots α_2 and $-\alpha_2$ are conjugate with the element w_2 , it is clear that in the fourth condition from all a_i, b_i, c_i only $b_{5,4}$ can be not zero. Therefore the following elements of Z are zeros: $z_{1,4}, z_{5,7}, z_{5,9}, z_{5,12}, z_{5,1}, z_{5,13}, z_{5,14}, z_{2,1}, z_{2,6}, z_{2,14}, z_{3,2}, z_{3,12}, z_{3,14}, z_{3,5}, z_{3,7}, z_{3,8}, z_{3,13}, z_{13,1}, z_{13,6}, z_{6,4}, z_{2,8}, z_{2,13}, z_{7,1}, z_{7,6}, z_{7,9}, z_{8,4}, z_{8,6}, z_{8,12}, z_{9,4}, z_{10,6}, z_{11,7}, z_{11,9}, z_{11,12}, z_{11,14}, z_{3,10}, z_{13,4}, z_{14,4}, z_{5,8}$.

From the first equation now $z_{2,10} = z_{6,12} = z_{1,6} = 0$, from the second one $z_{1,8} = z_{5,2} = z_{7,2} = z_{13,10} = z_{14,10} = z_{11,3} = z_{9,13} = z_{9,14} = 0$, from the third one $z_{9,1} = z_{11,5} = z_{7,13} = 0$. Again from the second condition it follows $z_{6,6} = z_{2,2}, z_{5,5} = z_{1,1}, z_{11,11} = z_{9,9}$. From the first condition $z_{4,4} = z_{1,1} = z_{2,2} = z_{7,7} = z_{9,9} = 0$. Therefore $Z = 0$, what we need.

Theorem 2 is proved. $\square$

From Theorems 1 and 2 it directly follows the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $G(R)$ and $G(S)$ are Chevalley groups of type G_2 , R, S are local rings with $1/2$ and $1/3$. Then every isomorphism between $G(R)$ and $G(S)$ is standard, i. e., it is the composition of a ring isomorphism and an inner automorphism.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Abe E. Automorphisms of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. Algebra and Analysis, 5(2), 1993, 74–90.
- [2] Abe E. Chevalley groups over local rings. Tohoku Math. J., 1969, 21(3), 474–494.
- [3] Abe E. Chevalley groups over commutative rings. Proc. Conf. Radical Theory, Sendai — 1988, 1–23.
- [4] Abe E. Normal subgroups of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. Contemp. Math., 1989, 83, 1–17.
- [5] Abe E., Hurley J. Centers of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. Comm. Algebra, 1988, 16(1), 57–74. 1976, 28(1), 185–198.
- [6] Bak A. Nonabelian K-theory: The nilpotent class of K_1 and general stability. K-Theory, 1991, 4, 363–397.
- [7] Bak A., Vavilov Normality of the elementary subgroup functors. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 1995, 118(1), 35–47.
- [8] Bloshytsyn V.Ya. Automorphisms of general linear group over a commutative ring, not generated by zero divisors. Algebra and Logic, 1978, 17(6), 639–642.
- [9] Borel A. Properties and linear representations of Chevalley groups. Seminar in algebraic groups, M., 1973, 9–59.
- [10] Borel A., Tits J. Homomorphismes “abstraits” de groupes algébriques simples. Ann. Math., 1973, 73, 499–571.
- [11] Bourbaki N. Groupes et Algèbres de Lie. Hermann, 1968.
- [12] Bunina E.I. Automorphisms of elementary adjoint Chevalley groups of types A_l, D_l, E_l over local rings. Algebra and Logic, 2009, to appear (arXiv:math/0702046).
- [13] Bunina E.I. Automorphisms of adjoint Chevalley groups of types B_2 and G_2 over local rings. Journal of Mathematical Science, 2008, 155(6), 795–814.

- [14] Bunina E.I. Automorphisms and normalizers of Chevalley groups of types A_l , D_l , E_l over local rings with $1/2$. *Fundamentalnaya i prikladnaya matematika*, 2009, to appear (arXiv:0907.5595).
- [15] Carter R.W. Simple groups of Lie type, 2nd ed., Wiley, London et al., 1989.
- [16] Carter R.W., Chen Yu. Automorphisms of affine Kac–Moody groups and related Chevalley groups over rings. *J. Algebra*, 1993, 155, 44–94.
- [17] Chen Yu. Isomorphic Chevalley groups over integral domains. *Rend. Sem. Mat. univ. Padova*, 1994, 92, 231–237.
- [18] Chen Yu. On representations of elementary subgroups of Chevalley groups over algebras. *proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1995, 123(8), 2357–2361.
- [19] Chen Yu. Automorphisms of simple Chevalley groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 1995, 348, 81–97.
- [20] Chen Yu. Isomorphisms of adjoint Chevalley groups over integral domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1996, 348(2), 1–19.
- [21] Chen Yu. Isomorphisms of Chevalley groups over algebras. *J. Algebra*, 2000, 226, 719–741.
- [22] Chevalley C. Certain schemas des groupes semi-simples. *Sem. Bourbaki*, 1960–1961, 219, 1–16.
- [23] Chevalley C. Sur certains groupes simples. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 1955, 2(7), 14–66.
- [24] Cohn P., On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Et. Sci.*, 1966, 30, 365–413.
- [25] Demazure M., Gabriel P. Groupes algébriques. I. North Holland, Amsterdam et al., 1970, 1–770.
- [26] Demazure M., Grothendieck A. Schémas en groupes. I, II, III, *Lecture Notes Math.*, 1971, 151, 1–564; 152, 1–654; 153, 1–529.
- [27] Diedonne J., On the automorphisms of classical groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1951, 2.
- [28] Diedonne J. Geometry of classical groups, 1974.
- [29] Golubchik I.Z. Isomorphisms of the linear general group $GL_n(R)$, $n \geq 4$, over an associative ring. *Contemp. Math.*, 1992, 131(1), 123–136.
- [30] Golubchik I.Z., Mikhalev A.V. Isomorphisms of unitary groups over associative rings. *Zapiski nauchnykh seminarov LOMI*, 1983, 132, 97–109 (in Russian).
- [31] Golubchik I.Z., Mikhalev A.V. Isomorphisms of the general linear group over associative ring. *Vestnik MSU, ser. math.*, 1983, 3, 61–72 (in Russian).
- [32] Grothendieck A. *Eléments de géométrie algébrique* (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné). IV. Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, 1967, 32, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 5–361.
- [33] Hahn A.J., O’Meara O.T. The classical groups and K-theory. Springer, Berlin et al., 1989.
- [34] Hazrat R., Vavilov N.A. K_1 of Chevalley groups are nilpotent. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2003, 179, 99–116.
- [35] Hua L.K., Reiner I., Automorphisms of unimodular groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71, 1951, 331–348.
- [36] Humphreys J. F., On the automorphisms of infinite Chevalley groups, *Canad. J. Math.*, 21, 1969, 908–911.
- [37] Humphreys J.E. Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory. Springer–Verlag New York, 1978.
- [38] Jantzen J.C. Representations of algebraic groups. Academic Press, N.Y., 1987.
- [39] Fuan Li, Zunxian Li. Automorphisms of $SL_3(R)$, $GL_3(R)$. *Contemp. Math.*, 1984, 82, 47–52.
- [40] Klyachko Anton A. Automorphisms and isomorphisms of Chevalley groups and algebras. arXiv:0708.2256v3 (2007).
- [41] McDonald B.R., Automorphisms of $GL_n(R)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 215, 1976, 145–159.
- [42] O’Meara O.T., The automorphisms of linear groups over any integral domain, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 223, 1966, 56–100.
- [43] Petechuk V.M. Automorphisms of matrix groups over commutative rings. *Mathematical Sbornik*, 1983, 45, 527–542.
- [44] Petechuk V.M. Automorphisms of groups SL_n , GL_n over some local rings. *Mathematical Notes*, 1980, 28(2), 187–206.
- [45] Petechuk V.M. Automorphisms of groups $SL_3(K)$, $GL_3(K)$. *Mathematical Notes*, 1982, 31(5), 657–668.
- [46] Stein M.R. Generators, relations and coverings of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. *Amer. J. Math.*, 1971, 93(4), 965–1004.
- [47] Stein M.R. Surjective stability in dimension 0 for K_2 and related functors, *Trans. Amer. Soc.*, 1973, 178(1), 165–191.

- [48] Stein M.R. Stability theorems for K_1 , K_2 and related functors modeled on Chevalley groups. Japan J. Math., 1978, 4(1), 77–108.
- [49] Steinberg R. Lectures on Chevalley groups, Yale University, 1967.
- [50] Steinberg R., Automorphisms of finite linear groups, Canad. J. Math., 121, 1960, 606–615.
- [51] Suslin A.A., On a theorem of Cohn, J. Sov. Math. 17 (1981), N2, 1801–1803.
- [52] Suzuki K., On the automorphisms of Chevalley groups over p -adic integer rings, Kumamoto J. Sci. (Math.), 16(1), 1984, 39–47.
- [53] Swan R., *Generators and relations for certain special linear groups*, Adv. Math. 6 (1971), 1–77.
- [54] Taddei G. Normalité des groupes élémentaire dans les groupes de Chevalley sur un anneau. Contemp. Math., Part II, 1986, 55, 693–710.
- [55] Vaserstein L.N. On normal subgroups of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. Tohoku Math. J., 1986, 36(5), 219–230.
- [56] Vavilov N.A. Structure of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. Proc. Conf. Non-associative algebras and related topics (Hiroshima – 1990). World Sci. Publ., London et al., 1991, 219–335.
- [57] Vavilov N.A. An A_3 -proof of structure theorems for Chevalley groups of types E_6 and E_7 . J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2007, 1–16.
- [58] Vavilov N.A., Plotkin E.B. Chevalley groups over commutative rings. I. Elementary calculations. Acta Applicandae Math., 1996, 45, 73–115.
- [59] Vavilov N.A. Parabolic subgroups of Chevalley groups over commutative ring. Zapiski nauchnyh seminarov LOMI, 1982, 116, 20–43 (in Russian).
- [60] Vavilov N.A., Gavrilovich M.R. A_2 -proof of structure theorems for Chevalley groups of types E_6 and E_7 . Algebra and Analysis, 2004, 116(4), 54–87.
- [61] Vavilov N.A., Gavrilovich M.R., Nikolenko S.I. Structure of Chevalley groups: proof from the book. Zapiski nauchnyh seminarov LOMI, 2006, 330, 36–76 (in Russian).
- [62] Vavilov N.A., Petrov V.A. On overgroups of $Ep(2l, R)$. Algebra and Analysis, 2003, 15(3), 72–114.
- [63] Waterhouse W.C. Introduction to affine group schemes. Springer-Verlag, N.Y. et al., 1979.
- [64] Waterhouse W.C. Automorphisms of $GL_n(R)$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1980, 79, 347–351.
- [65] Waterhouse W.C. Automorphisms of quotients of $\prod GL(n_i)$. Pacif. J. Math., 1982, 79, 221–233.
- [66] Waterhouse W.C. Automorphisms of $\det(X_{ij})$: the group scheme approach. Adv. Math., 1987, 65(2), 171–203.
- [67] Zalesskiy A.E. Linear groups. Itogi Nauki. M., 1989, 114–228 (in Russian).
- [68] Zelmanov E.I. Isomorphisms of general linear groups over associative rings. Siberian Mathematical Journal, 1985, 26(4), 49–67 (in Russian).